

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Addrex: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Poly 1459
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.aspite gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,005	01/29/2002	Kenji Suzuki	100353-00096	3133	
7:	590 11/28/2003	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			IM, JUNG	IM, JUNGHWA M	
Suite 600					
1050 Connecticut Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, E	C 20036-5339		2811		

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				asi
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	,	10/058,005	SUZUKI ET AL.	
		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Junghwa M. Im	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this c	ommunication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicational rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 ma condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Examination (RCE) in compliance with	ant is required to av y <u>only</u> be either: (1 ed Notice of Appea	a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl	ition in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires				
no event, however, will the statutory	period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the malling B FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	date of the final rejecti	ion
Extensions of time may be obtained under fee have been filed is the date for purposes of of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any re- timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term	determining the period or the expiration date of ply received by the Office	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriation of the final properties and the final properties.	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extens				
2. The proposed amendment(s) with	ill not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that we	would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) 🔲 they raise the issue of new	matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place issues for appeal; and/or	ce the application in	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional clai	ims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation St	neet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome	the following reject	ion(s):		
Newly proposed or amended cla canceling the non-allowable cla		be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, application in condition for allow			dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT raised by the Examiner in the fir		ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the pro- explanation of how the new or a		(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		and an

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3.10 and 11</u>.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

SOUR LEE SOPERVISURY PATENT EXAMINER SOCIETY OF TENTER 2200 Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitation of "a wire line that is connected to said first power supply I/O cell" in claim 1 is an example of new issue which would require further search and consideration to determine patentability.